

Effect of Pre and Post Emergence Weedicides on Weed Dynamics and Yield Parameters of Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.)

Deepak Tiwari

Date of Submission: 12-03-2023

Date of Acceptance: 22-03-2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Research Farm of the Department of Agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Sadopur, Ambala during Rabi season of 2020. The present investigation entitled "Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dynamics and yield parameters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". The

experiment was arranged in a Randomized Complete Block design (RCBD) with seven treatments and each treatment was repeated three times. Different pre and post emergence herbicides such as **T1**: Weedy check, **T2**: Pendimethalin (3000 ml ha⁻¹), **T3**: Pendimethalin (2500 ml ha⁻¹), **T4**: 2,4-D-Amine Salt (1000 ml ha⁻¹), **T5**: 2,4-D-Amine Salt (800 ml ha⁻¹), **T6**: Clodinafop (395 g ha⁻¹), **T7**: Clodinafop (360 g ha⁻¹), **T8**: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing), **T9**: Sulfosulfuron (34 g ha⁻¹) and **T10**: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) were sprayed as post emergence herbicides including weedy control. All herbicidal treatments significantly reduced weeds population but level of efficacy was different for each herbicide.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is considered as the most crucial among the different types of cereal crops grown around the various states of India. It is widely grown in various parts of the world. Wheat is the most favoured staple food in human consumption among all the other grain crops. Wheat is an annual, self-pollinated crop belonging to Poaceae family. It is a Rabi season crop. Wheat is a long day plant and requires a long exposure to low temperature. It requires rainfall between 30 to 100 cm and temperature varies from 15°C - 20°C. It requires warm weather and moisture

during the later stages.

Wheat covers more of the earth's surface than any other cereal crop. It takes more land space than other cereals, it is only the third-largest cereal crop, behind maize and rice. The domestication of grains

and the development of agricultural lifestyles led to significant changes in people's lives, encouraging permanent settlements, the development of civilization, and trade. Wheat's domestication produced larger grains and a more productive crop, which could not have survived in the wild and required continued intervention of farmers intentionally planting it.

As one of the first grains to be domesticated, modern wheat's developed from cultivation starting in the Middle East about 9-11,000 years ago in the fertile crescent of the Middle East. Without a clearly identifiable time frame, the Neolithic period is identified by the domestication of crops and animals, which began with the development of farming, and endured until the development of metal tools. By 4,000 BC the expanding geographical range of farming resulted in bread wheat becoming a common staple from England to China. Although rice was more important to the development of East Asian cultures, wheat was the nutritional foundation for cultures in Europe, the Middle East and western Asia.

Wheat was introduced in Mexico by the Spaniards around 1520 and to early American colonists in the 1600's. At that time it was not popular in New England due to the soils and climate, but in the mid 1800's wheat was grown from seeds introduced by migrating Europeans and agricultural scientists in the area that would later be called the "Wheat Belt."

The 1830's saw the development of the reaping and threshing machines, allowing farmers to greatly increase their productivity during harvest. The development of the steam engine in the 1880's

and the internal combustion engine in the 1920's increased farmer productivity during both planting and harvest, and as a result wheat fields became larger.

Wheat is generally grown intended for food for humans, but lesser quality wheat and thenutrient-dense by-products of flour refining are used for animal feed. Wheat is also used for whiskey and beer production, and the husk can be separated and ground into bran. Before the introduction of corn into Europe, wheat was the principal source of starch for sizing paper and cloth. Most breads, even rye and oat breads, are made with at least a portion of wheat flour because of two main quality characteristics of wheat that improve the breads - its gluten, and its alpha-amylase activity. High gluten flours offer elasticity in the dough, allowing for it to rise without developing large air pockets. Tender pastries, like pie crusts and biscuits, are best with low gluten flours. All wheat flours are best with low alpha-amylase activity, because alpha amylase turns starch to sugar and prevents development of proper dough characteristics. Many flours are carefully blended mixtures of both hard and soft wheat's designed precisely for a specific purpose. Wheat cultivars can be classified by planting season, hardness of the grain, and (less importantly) color. Winter wheat is winter hardy, so they are planted in the fall. In the spring they resume maturation and are harvested early in the summer. Spring wheat's are planted in the spring and harvested late in the summer. Spring wheat yields are significantly lower than winter wheat yields, but it offers a very high quality for bread making. Soft wheat varieties have starchy kernels (less gluten) which are easier to mill than the hard wheat's. Soft wheat flour is preferred for pie crust, French bread, biscuits, and breakfast foods. Hard wheat's have higher protein and gluten levels than their softer cousins, and are used for bread, cakes and flour. The hardest wheat is durum (T. durum), whose flour is used in the manufacture of macaroni, spaghetti, and other pasta products. Soft wheat's and white wheat's usually bring higher prices because they are easier to mill and don't require bleaching. Weeds are unwanted plants in the cultivated field. Weeds cause huge loss to the agricultural production because of their vying nature with crop for nutrition and other important factors such as light, moisture and space. Weeds hampers seed cleaning, obstruct waterways, increased risk of fire and thus, elevates the cost of production (Ashrafi et al., 2009).

Weeds are the agent that causes more

damage to the main crop as compared to insects, fungi and other pests. Loss of crop yield may occur due to the type and density of weed. The weeds can be controlled through cultural, mechanical, physical and chemical methods. The weed control method which is commonly used in India is manual weeding but it is expensive, time consuming and does not have that much profit. Also, performing manual weeding is not preferable in all conditions and causes many hurdles in growth of different crops and soils. Therefore, chemical control method can be a good alternative to control weeds. Herbicide is a chemical which is used to kill or inhibit the growth of unwanted plants. Herbicides applied at the right time and in the right dose have proven profitable yields in wheat crop. The continuous use of herbicides in wheat causes resistance to the herbicides in Phalaris minor. There is a need to develop integrated methods using herbicides with manual methods. Therefore, the present investigation entitled 'Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dynamic and yield parameters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)' is conceptualized with following objectives:

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled, "Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dynamics and yield parameters of wheat" was carried out during Rabi 2020-2021 at the Research Field of Department of Agriculture at Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Sadopur, Ambala (Haryana). The experiment was conducted under randomized block design (RBD) and replicated thrice, during Nov – April (2020-2021). The details of the materials used and the methods adopted during the course of the investigation are given in this chapter.

2.1 Experimental site

The experimental site is located at 30°42'39" N latitude and 76°77'69" E longitude and at an altitude of 264 m above mean sea level. The area represents the tropical and semi-arid climate.

2.1.2 Agro-Meteorological features

Climate of the location is as tropical and semi-arid with hot and dry summer (April to June), hot and humid monsoon period (July to September) and cold winter (December to February). The mean maximum and minimum temperature shows considerable variations throughout the year. The maximum temperature reaches near 40°C during summer and minimum temperature decreases below 4°C during the winter

months of December and January. The average temperature is about 23.6° C. The average annual rainfall of region is about 74.8 cm per year. Photoperiodically, wheat is a long-day plant which needs optimum temperature range for ideal germination of wheat seeds is 20-25°C though these seeds can germinate in the temperature range 3.5 to 35 ° C. Rains just after sowing hamper

germination and encourage seedling blight. Areas with arid and damp climate are not suited for wheat growing. It is grown in regions with annual rainfall from 60-110cm.

2.1.3 Soil analysis

Soil samples were collected before the layout of the experiment and after the harvesting of crop at depth of 0-15cm.

Table 3.1 Physical-chemical properties of soil (pre-sowing)

Particular	Value
Soil pH	8.64
Soil EC (d _{sm} ⁻¹)	0.34
Soil organic carbon (%)	0.75
Bulk density (g/cm ³)	1.31
Particle density (g/cm ³)	2.43
Porosity (%)	46.09
Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	310.50
Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	12.77
Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	137.45

2.2 Experimental Details

Experimental design	Randomized block design
Crop	Wheat
Variety	HD-3086
Replications	3
No. of treatments	10
No. of plots	30
Size of plot	2×3m ²
Spacing	22.5cm
Width of main irrigation channel	60cm

Treatments:

- T1: Weedy check
 T 2: Pendimethalin (3000 ml/ha) T 3: Pendimethalin (2500ml/ha) T 4: 2,4D-Amine Salt (100ml/ha) T 5: 2,4D-Amine Salt (800ml/ha) T 6: Clodinafop (395g/ha)
 T 7: Clodinafop (360g/ha)
 T 8: meteribuzin (300g/ha + Hand hoeing) T 9: Sulfosulfuron (34g/ha)
 T 10: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha + Hand hoeing)

2.2 Cultural operations

2.2.1 Field preparation

Before sowing the soil was prepared for seed bed conditions by two dry ploughing followed by harrowing, leveling and ridges formation. The field was divided into three blocks

along with the two irrigation channels, one at the front of the first block and other was between the second and third block. Each block was further divided into ten different plots; the size of each plot was 2×3m. The basic NPK fertilizer dose 100-60-40kg/ha was applied as diammonium phosphate (DAP) and urea, a week before sowing.

2.2.2 Sowing

Line sowing method was adopted for sowing wheat because this method is considered best for cultivation during monsoon and winter seasons both under excess and limited water availability conditions. The advantage of line sowing seeding is that it permits large acreage to be sown in less time; the disadvantages are poor soil to seed contact, uneven planting depths (some seed too shallow for proper emergence of permanent root systems and other seed too deep for germination), and, often, poor plant distribution.

2.2.3 Manure and fertilizer application

The doses of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc sulphate were applied during the course of experiment according to the treatments. Half the nitrogen and the entire dose of phosphorus, potassium were applied as a basal dose at the time of sowing and rest of nitrogen was top

dressed in two split doses on 25 days after sowing and 45 days after sowing.

2.2.4 Irrigation

The crop was irrigated at interval of 20-25 days after sowing or at the critical stages to prevent the crop from any kind of water stress. The following irrigations were given according to the needs of the crop. Thus four irrigations were applied during the crop season.

2.2.5 Herbicides application

All the herbicides were applied as pre-emergence at 2 DAS and post-emergence with the use of manually operated knapsack sprayer joined with flat fan. Different herbicides have been used in different quantities which were as follows T1 weedy check, T2 Pendimethalin (3000ml/ha⁻¹), T3 Pendimethalin (2500 ml/ha), T4 2,4D-Amine Salt (100ml/ha), T5 2,4D-Amine Salt (800ml/ha), T6 clodinafop (395g/ha), T7 Clodinafop (360g/ha⁻¹), T8 Meteribuzin (300g/ha + Hand hoeing), T9 Sulfosulfuron (34g/ha) and

T10 Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha + Hand hoeing).

2.2.6 Handweeding

Weeds were removed manually in two hand weeding at 30 and 50 DAS in weed with treatment during the crop life cycle.

2.2.7 Harvesting and threshing

Grains were harvested when grains were fully developed and having 15 percent moisture in grain. The harvesting of crops was done on 11th of April. The harvested plants were bundled, sun-dried and weighted before threshing to record total (grain + straw) yield. Threshing was carried out and grains per net plot collected were winnowed, cleaned and weighed.

2.4 Dates of agronomic operations

The crop was raised following all recommended package and practices for wheat, except variable treatments. The dates of various operations followed during the period of experimentation have been presented in Table 3.1

Table 3.2 Schedule of various field operations performed during Rabi 2020

S.No. Operation	Date	Method used
1 Pre-sowing irrigation	21.10.2020	Tubewell
2 Ploughing	30.10.2020	Tractor with harrow
3 Ploughing and planking	31.10.2020	Manual
4 Field layout	01.11.2020	Manual
3 Basal application of fertilizer	06.11.2020	Manual
4 Sowing	07.11.2020	Manual
5 Top dressing of nitrogen		Manual
1, top dressing (30 days after sowing)	06.12.2020	
2, top dressing (45 days after sowing)	21.12.2020	
6 Herbicides application		Manual with spray

1 st preemergence(2daysaftersowing)	09.11.2020	
2 nd postemergence(32daysaftersowing)	09.12.2020	
3 rd postemergence(42daysaftersowing)	21.12.2020	
4 th postemergence(43daysaftersowing)	22.12.2020	
5 th postemergence(44daysaftersowing)	23.12.2020	
7 Irrigation		Manual
1 st Irrigation (22daysaftersowing)	29.11.2020	
2 nd Irrigation (41daysaftersowing)	20.12.2020	
3 rd Irrigation (73daysaftersowing)	19.01.2021	
4 th Irrigation (92daysaftersowing)	07.02.2021	
8 Harvesting	12.04.2021	Manual
9 Threshing	18.04.2021	Manual

2.5 Observations

The response of wheat crop to various treatments application under the present investigation was analyzed on the basis of weed studies, growth, yields, and nutrient uptake in wheat.

2.5.1 Studies on weeds

2.5.2 Weed density

Weed density was recorded using a quadrat of 50 cm (0.25 m²) size randomly. Weed counts species wise was taken at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest stage of crop growth and reported as number of weed m².

2.5.3 Weed control efficiency

Weed control efficiency was worked out at 60 and 90 DAS stage on the basis of reduction in dry weight of weeds in the treated plot in dry weight of weeds under weedy check (control) using following formula:

$$WCE = \frac{DWC - DWT}{DWC} \times 100$$

Where,

WCE = Weed control efficiency
DWT = Dry weight of weeds in treated plot.

DWC = Dry weight of weeds in weedy check (control) plot.

2.5.3 Studies on wheat

2.5.3.1 Growth parameters

2.5.3.2 Plant height (cm)

The height was measured from five tagged plants from the base of the plant to the highest terminal point by a meter. Average of five plants were taken to compute mean plant height at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest.

2.5.4 Number of Tillers

The number of tillers in wheat plant were measured using a scale.

2.6 Yield attributes and yield

2.6.1 Number of spike

Number of spike was recorded by using a quadrat of one square meter in each plot as per procedure followed for counting number of spike at harvest stage.

2.6.2 Length of spike

The average spike length was measured by taking length (cm) of ten randomly selected spikes. The length of ear measured from the base of the spike to the top of the last spikelet.

2.6.1 Number of grains per spike

The number of grains from ten spikes from each plot which were selected for length of spike as

mentioned above was recorded and later on average number of grains spike was worked out.

3.6.3 Test weight (g)

From bulk produce of each net plot, a representative grain sample was drawn and one thousand seeds were counted randomly. Their weight was recorded as 1000 seed weight.

2.6.4 Biological yield (qha⁻¹)

After harvesting, the wheat crop was sun dried up to five days and then weight of net harvested area of wheat in each plot was recorded and converted into qha⁻¹.

2.6.5 Grain yield (qha⁻¹)

The produce of each net plot was threshed and collected separately and grain yield was recorded. The grain yield per net plot was then converted into hectare basis.

2.6.6 Straw yield (qha⁻¹)

The straw yield was recorded by subtracting the grain yield from total yield of each net plot and subsequently the values were converted on hectare basis.

2.6.7 Harvest Index

Harvest index was calculated from economic yield (grain) and biological yield (grain + straw) by using the following formula given.

$$HI = \frac{\text{Grain yield (qha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Total biological yield (qha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

2.6.8 Collection of Soil

Samples for identification of soil fertility constraints in the study area soil samples (surface and subsurface) were collected under different treatments in the study area.

2.7.1 Preparation and storing of soil samples

Collected soil samples were dried in shade. The air dried samples were grinded with a wooden pestle and mortar and passed through 2 mm sieve to separate the coarse fragments (>2 mm). The fine earth samples were stored in separate containers and used for various analyses.

2.7.2 Method of soil analysis

3.7.3 Soil reaction

Soil pH was determined in 1:2 soil-water suspensions by glass electrode pH meter method (Jackson, 1973).

2.7.4 Electrical conductivity

Electrical conductivity was determined in 1:2 soil-water extract using Conductivity Bridge and expressed as dSm⁻¹ (Jackson, 1973).

2.7.5 Organic carbon

The organic carbon content of a finely ground soil sample was determined by Walkely and Black's wet oxidation method as described by Jackson (1973) and expressed in kg⁻¹ soil.

3.7.6 Particle size analysis

The relative proportion of sand, silt and clay in soil sample was determined by using Bouyoucos hydrometer method as described by Bouyoucos (1962) using hydrogen peroxide (30%) to oxidize organic matter. This method measures the viscosity of soil suspension at the surface at different times.

2.8.1 Economics

2.8.2 Cost of cultivation

Cost of cultivation of wheat crop was calculated per hectare on the basis of local market price of different inputs used in cultivation.

2.8.3 Gross returns (Rs. ha⁻¹)

The monetary value of grain and straw yield was computed in rupees by using local market price of outputs. Gross return was obtained by adding monetary value of grain and straw.
Gross return (kg ha⁻¹) = Yield (kg) x Market price of crop (Rs. / kg)

2.8.4 Net returns (Rs. ha⁻¹)

Net return for each treatment combination was calculated by deducting the cost of cultivation from the respective gross return.

Net return (Rs. ha⁻¹) = Gross return - of cultivation

2.8.5 Benefit: cost ratio

Benefit: cost ratio, net return per rupee invested, was calculated using the following formula:

$$B:Cratio = \frac{\text{Net return (Rs.ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Cost of cultivation (Rs.ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

2.8.6 Statistical Analysis

The data recorded during the course of investigation was subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) for split plot design. Standard error of mean in each case and critical difference only for significant cases were calculated at 5% levels of probability as under.

2.8.7 Standard error of mean

Standard error of mean will be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Standard error of mean} = \sqrt{\frac{EMS}{R}}$$

Where,

SE \pm = Standard error of mean

EMS = Error mean sum of square

R = Number of replication on which the observation is based.

2.8.8 Critical Difference

The data obtained was subjected to statistical analysis as outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The critical difference at 5% level of significance was estimated to compare treatment means wherever 'F' test was significant

$$CD(p=0.05) = \sqrt{2 \times \text{Error mean square}} \times t_{(at error, f)}$$

CD = Critical difference

R = Number of replication of the factor for which

C.D. is to be calculated.

t_{0.05} = value of percentage point of 'f' distribution for error degree of freedom at 5 percent level of significance.

III. CHAPTER-4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present investigation entitled "Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dynamics and yield parameters of wheat" was carried out during Rabi (2020) at the Research Field of Department of Agriculture at Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Sadopur (Haryana). The results obtained from the present investigation are described and discussed under the following headings:

3.1 EFFECT OF PRE EMERGENCE AND POST APPLICATION ON WEED CONTROL

3.2 EFFECT OF PRE EMERGENCE AND POST APPLICATION ON GROWTH PARAMETERS

3.3 EFFECT OF PRE EMERGENCE AND POST EMERGENCE HERBICIDE APPLICATION ON YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES

3.4 EFFECT OF PRE EMERGENCE AND POST EMERGENCE HERBICIDE APPLICATION ON NON QUALITY PARAMETERS

3.5 EFFECT OF PRE EMERGENCE AND POST EMERGENCE HERBICIDE APPLICATION ON ECONOMICS

3.1.1 Plant height

The mean plant height recorded at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest was significantly affected by different treatments and is presented in Table 4.1. The data showed that plant height significantly increased with application of T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g/ha + Hand hoeing). At 30 days after sowing, the maximum plant height of 18.32 cm was recorded in the treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g/ha + Hand hoeing) and it was significantly higher than the other treatments. The minimum plant height of 12.73 cm was recorded in the T₁: Weedy check.

Table 4.1. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on plant height of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	AT HARVEST
T ₁ : Weedy check	12.73	33.83	65.22	79.84
	14.21	34.73	69.09	80.34

T ₂ :Pendimethalin(3000ml/ha)				
T ₃ :Pendimethalin(2500ml/ha)	15.33	41.31	73.73	83.65
T ₄ :2,4 D-AmineSalt(1000ml/ha)	15.74	42.94	74.52	84.11
T ₅ :2,4 D-AmineSalt(800ml/ha)	14.32	40.90	73.31	83.84
T ₆ :Clodinofof(395 g/ha)	16.31	43.81	76.51	85.94
T ₇ :Clodinofof(360g/ha)	17.21	44.63	75.24	88.83
T ₈ :Meteribuzin(300 g /ha +Hand hoeing)	18.32	46.35	80.56	91.41
T ₉ :Sulfosulfuron(34g/ha)	16.74	41.92	75.72	84.86
T ₁₀ : Sulfosulfuron(28g/ ha + Hand hoeing)	17.97	45.85	78.25	89.43
SEm±	0.015	0.89	0.014	0.213
C.D.(P= 0.05)	0.044	0.265	0.041	0.638
CV(%)	0.164	0.362	0.03	0.415

3.1.2 Number of Tillers

The maximum number of tillers at 30 DAS and 60 DAS were observed in the treatment T₈: meteribuzin (300g/ ha + Hand hoeing) whereas the lowest number of tillers were observed in the treatment T₁: Weedy check.

Table 4.2. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on tillers of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	30DAS	90DAS
T ₁ : Weedy check	2.0	4.1
T ₂ : Pendimethalin (3000ml/ha)	2.1	4.2
T ₃ : Pendimethalin (2500ml/ha)	2.3	4.7
T ₄ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (1000ml/ha)	2.1	4.4
T ₅ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (800ml/ha)	2.4	4.3
T ₆ : Clodinofof (395g/ha)	2.6	4.5

T ₇ :Clodinfop(360g/ha)	2.5	4.7
T ₈ :meteribuzin(300g/ ha+Hand hoeing)	2.8	5.3
T ₉ :Sulfosulfuron(34g/ha)	2.5	4.2
T ₁₀ :Sulfosulfuron(28g/ ha+ Hand hoeing)	2.7	4.9
SEm±	0.5	0.06
C.D.(P= 0.05)	0.15	0.17
CV(%)	4.2	2.4

3.1.3 Grains/spike

A critical study of the data revealed that the maximum grains/spike (42) was recorded in T₈:meteribuzin (300g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) which was found at par with

T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron(28g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). However, all the other treatments resulted in relatively higher grains/spike than the weedy check.

Table 4.3. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on test weight, grains/spike and spike length of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	Grains/spike	Spike length(cm)	Test weight(g)
T ₁ :Weedycheck	35	6.8	35.2
T ₂ :Pendimethalin(3000ml/ha)	37	7.3	37.7
T ₃ :Pendimethalin(2500ml/ha)	38	7.5	38.9
T ₄ :2,4D-AmineSalt(1000ml/ha)	39	7.7	39.5
T ₅ :2,4D-AmineSalt(800ml/ha)	39	7.6	38.6
T ₆ :Clodinfop(395g/ha)	40	7.8	40.8
T ₇ :Clodinfop(360g/ha)	41	7.9	41.4
T ₈ :Meteribuzin(300g/ha +Handhoeing)	42	8.2	42.3

T ₉ :Sulfosulfuron(34g/ha)	40	8.0	38.1
T ₁₀ :Sulfosulfuron(28g/ha +Handhoeing)	41	8.1	41.5
SEm±	0.25	0.17	0.57
C.D.(P= 0.05)	0.67	0.50	1.74
CV(%)	0.95	0.32	2.04

3.1.9 Spike length

The data on spike length is presented in Table 4.3 revealed that maximum spike length (8.2cm) recorded with treatment T₈: meteribuzin (300g ha⁻¹+Handhoeing), which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) and significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. Minimum spike length (6.8cm) was shown by weedy check treatment.

3.1.2 Test weight

A critical study of the data revealed that the maximum test weight (42.3g) was recorded in T₈: meteribuzin (300g

ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g ha⁻¹+Handhoeing). However, all the other treatments resulted in relatively higher test weight than the weedy check.

3.1.3 Weed Density:-

The highest weed density at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was observed in the treatment T₁: Weedy check, whereas the minimum weed density was observed in the treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300g ha⁻¹+Handhoeing).

Table 4.4. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed density (m⁻²) at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

TREATMENTS	At Tillering (m ⁻²)	At Earhead (m ⁻²)	At Dough (m ⁻²)
T ₁ : Weedy check	5.89	8.75	10.76
T ₂ : Pendimethalin (3000ml/ha)	3.62	6.13	7.32
T ₃ : Pendimethalin (2500ml/ha)	4.74	6.26	8.26
T ₄ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (1000ml/ha)	5.24	7.12	7.23
T ₅ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (800ml/ha)	4.99	6.58	4.89
T ₆ : Clodinafop (395g/ha)	5.13	6.21	5.32
T ₇ : Clodinafop (360g/ha)	5.71	7.18	8.40

T8: Meteribuzin(300g/ha+Handhoeing)	3.06	3.35	4.33
T9: Sulfosulfuron(34g/ha)	4.03	6.37	7.13
T10: Sulfosulfuron(28g/ha+Handhoeing)	3.71	7.16	6.32
SEm±	0.17	0.04	0.43
C.D.(P= 0.05)	0.35	0.03	1.25
CV (%)	4.07	0.24	8.20

3.1.4 Weedy weight

The highest weed dry weight at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was observed in the treatment

T1: Weedy check, whereas the minimum weed dry weight was observed in the treatment T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Handhoeing).

Table 4.6. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dry weight (g m⁻²) at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	At Tillers (g m ⁻²)	At Earhead (g m ⁻²)	At Dough (g m ⁻²)
T1: Weedy check	7.36	17.02	24.78
T 2: Pendimethalin(3000mlha ⁻¹)	6.33	14.89	22.88
T3: Pendimethalin(2500mlha ⁻¹)	5.90	14.25	22.51
T 4: 2,4D-Amine Salt(1000mlha ⁻¹)	5.44	14.11	21.84
T 5: 2,4D-Amine Salt(800mlha ⁻¹)	5.61	12.98	21.60
T6: Clodinafop(395g ha ⁻¹)	5.01	12.98	20.98
T7: Clodinafop(360g ha ⁻¹)	3.93	11.17	17.62
T8: Meteribuzin(300g ha ⁻¹ +Handhoeing)	1.63	7.94	14.21
T9: Sulfosulfuron(34g ha ⁻¹)	6.01	14.56	21.09
T10: Sulfosulfuron(28g ha ⁻¹ +Handhoeing)	2.53	8.48	15.47

SEm±	0.005	0.02	0.005
C.D.(P= 0.05)	0.016	0.06	0.01
CV (%)	0.181	0.02	0.04

3.1.5 Weed control efficiency (%)

The treatment T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) resulted in the highest weed control

efficiency and the lowest weed control efficiency was given by the treatment T1: Weedy check.

Table 4.7. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed control efficiency (%) of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	At tillering stage (%)	At earheads stage (%)	At dough stage (%)
T1: Weedy check	-	-	-
T 2: Pendimethalin (3000 ml ha ⁻¹)	12.02	8.02	6.47
T 3: Pendimethalin (2500 ml ha ⁻¹)	14.59	12.58	8.47
T 4: 2,4D-Amine Salt (1000 ml ha ⁻¹)	28.72	17.87	13.03
T 5: 2,4D-Amine Salt (800 ml ha ⁻¹)	20.72	16.02	10.12
T6: Clodinafop (395 g ha ⁻¹)	33.72	24.96	19.57
T7: Clodinafop (360 g ha ⁻¹)	48.97	35.66	30.25
T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	78.40	54.23	44.02
T9: Sulfosulfuron (34 g ha ⁻¹)	14.59	21.09	23.07
T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	67.99	52.00	39.01
SEm±	1.08	1.19	1.32
C.D.(P= 0.05)	2.99	3.57	3.74
CV (%)	11.32	13.47	9.73

3.1.6 Grainyield (q/ha)

From the data in table 4.5, it is clear that the maximum grain yield (56.35 q/ha) was recorded in T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) and it was at par with T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). The minimum grain yield was recorded in weedy check.

3.1.7 Stover yield (q/ha)

The data on stover yield influenced significantly by various treatments is presented in Table 4.5. The data indicated that T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest stover yield (71.28 q) which was found at par with T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). Overall the weedy check gave the lowest stover yield (54.65 q).

3.1.8 Biological yield (kg/ha)

The data in table 4.5, indicated that T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest biological yield (127.63 q) which was at par with T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). Whereas, the weedy check gave the lowest biological yield (92.77 q).

3.1.9 Harvest Index (%)

The data showing the effect of different treatments on harvest index is presented in Table 4.5. The data in table 4.5, indicated that T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest harvest index (44.15%) which was at par with T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). Whereas, the weedy check gave the lowest harvest index (41.09%).

Table 4.5. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Biological yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T1: Weedy check	38.12	54.65	92.77	41.09
T2: Pendimethalin (3000 ml ha ⁻¹)	42.34	54.17	96.51	43.87
T3: Pendimethalin (2500 ml ha ⁻¹)	50.76	64.09	114.85	44.19
T4: 2,4D-Amine Salt (100 ml ha ⁻¹)	51.03	64.08	115.11	44.33
T5: 2,4D-Amine Salt (800 ml ha ⁻¹)	40.95	54.02	94.97	43.11
T6: Clodinafop (395 g ha ⁻¹)	52.23	66.22	118.45	44.09
T7: Clodinafop (360 g ha ⁻¹)	52.01	65.03	117.04	44.43
T8: Meteribuzin (300 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	56.35	71.28	127.63	44.15
T9: Sulfosulfuron (34 g ha ⁻¹)	45.64	64.02	109.66	41.61
T10: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	53.14	69.12	122.26	43.46
SEM±	1.054	0.027	1.055	0.005
C.D. (P= 0.05)	3.155	0.081	3.157	0.015
CV (%)	3.723	0.074	1.798	0.02

Table 9. Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

TREATMENTS	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Gross return (Rs./ha)	Net Return (Rs./ha)	BCR (%)
T ₁ : Weedy check	23380	82237	58857	2.51
T ₂ : Pendimethalin (3000 ml ha ⁻¹)	23620	94521	70901	3.00
T ₃ : Pendimethalin (2500 ml ha ⁻¹)	25140	97326	72186	2.87
T ₄ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (1000 ml ha ⁻¹)	25615	104034	78419	3.06
T ₅ : 2,4D-Amine Salt (800 ml ha ⁻¹)	25440	103776	78336	3.07
T ₆ : Clodinafop (395 g ha ⁻¹)	25840	102329	76489	2.96
T ₇ : Clodinafop (360 g ha ⁻¹)	25690	109911	84221	3.27
T ₈ : Meteribuzin (300 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	28310	124783	96473	3.40
T ₉ : Sulfosulfuron (34 g ha ⁻¹)	26060	106301	80241	3.07
T ₁₀ : Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha ⁻¹ + Hand hoeing)	28025	121789	93764	3.34

3.3.1 Cost of cultivation

The cost of cultivation of wheat varied according to the application of different pre and post emergence herbicides among different treatments (Table 4.10). Cost of cultivation was minimum in weedy check (23380 INR/ha). Highest cost of cultivation of 28310 INR/ha was observed in T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).

3.3.2 Gross returns

Significantly higher gross returns (124783 INR/ha) were recorded with the application of T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) which was statistically at par with the T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) with a value of 121789 INR/ha.

3.3.3 Net returns

The data pertaining to net returns of wheat as influenced by various treatments is presented

in Table 4.10. Significantly higher net return of 96473 INR/ha was recorded with the application of T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing). Minimum net returns 58857 INR/ha was recorded in the weedy check.

3.3.4 Benefit cost ratio

The judiciousness of any treatment is determined by the benefit cost ratio. The data on B:C ratio have been presented in Table 4.10. Significantly higher value of B:C ratio was observed with the treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) is 3.40, which was statistically at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).

IV. CHAPTER-5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this chapter an attempt has been made to summarize the results presented in the experimental findings, and also to draw valid conclusions based

on the significant findings of the present investigation entitled, “Effect of pre and post emergence weedicides on weed dynamics and yield parameters of wheat”. The investigation was conducted during the Rabi season of 2020 at the Research Field of Department of Agriculture at Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Sadopur (Haryana).

The trial was carried out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments viz T₁: weedy check; T₂: Pendimethalin (3000 ml/ha⁻¹), T₃: Pendimethalin (2500 ml/ha), T₄: 2,4D-Amine Salt (100ml/ha), T₅: 2,4D-Amine Salt (800ml/ha), T₆: clodinafop (395g/ha), T₇: Clodinafop (360g/ha), T₈: Meteribuzin (300g/ha + Hand hoeing), T₉: Sulfosulfuron (34g/ha) and T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha + Hand hoeing). The treatments were replicated thrice. The field was prepared by ploughing twice with mould board plough followed by harrowing, leveling and ridges formation. The seed rate was 120kg/hain wheat.

The observations of the present study are summarized below:

1. The maximum plant height was recorded in the treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300g/ha + Hand hoeing)
2. The maximum number of tillers at 30 DAS and 60 DAS were observed in the treatment T₈: meteribuzin (300g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
3. A critical study of the data revealed that the maximum grains/spike (42) was recorded in T₈: meteribuzin (300g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
4. Maximum spike length (8.2cm) recorded with treatment T₈: meteribuzin (300g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing), which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) and significantly superior to the rest of the treatments.
5. The maximum test weight (42.3g) was recorded in T₈: meteribuzin (300g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
6. The highest weed density at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was observed in the treatment T₁: Weedy check.
7. The highest weed dry weight at tillering, ear head emergence and dough stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was observed in the

treatment T₁: Weedy check.

8. The treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) resulted in the highest weed control efficiency.
9. The data indicated that T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest stover yield (71.28 q) which was found at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
10. The treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest biological yield (127.63q) which was at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
11. The treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) gave the highest harvest index (44.15%) which was at par with T₁₀: Sulfosulfuron (28g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
12. The highest cost of cultivation of 28310 INR/ha was observed in T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
13. The highest net return of 96473 INR/ha was recorded with the application of T₈: Meteribuzin (300g/ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing).
14. The highest value of B: Crati was observed with the treatment T₈: Meteribuzin (300 g ha⁻¹ + Hand hoeing) is 3.40.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of results summarized above, it can be concluded that application of T₈: Meteribuzin (300g/ha + Hand hoeing) in wheat under favourable conditions gave the best results in respect to growth, yield and net income. However, lowest net income was observed in weedy check treatment. Therefore, it can be concluded that the application of pre and post herbicides have positive influence on the crop yield.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Amare, T. 2014. Effect of weed management methods on weeds and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield. African Journal of Agricultural Research 9(24):1914-1920.
- [2]. Amare, T., Raghavaiah, C.V., Zeki, T. 2016. Productivity, yield attributes and weed control in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by integrated weed management in central highlands of Ethiopia, East Africa. Advances in Crop Science and Technology 4:1-7.
- [3]. Ashrafi, Z.Y., Mashadi, H.R., Sadeghi, S., Blackshaw, R.E. 2009. Study effects of planting methods and tank mixed

- herbicides on weeds controlling and wheat yield. *Journal of Agriculture Science* **1(1)**:101-111.
- [4]. Bharat, R., Kachroo, D., Sharma, R., Gupta, M., Sharma, A.K. 2012. Effect of different herbicides on weed growth and yield performance of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **44(2)**:106-109.
- [5]. Bhullar, M.S., Shergill, L.S., Kaur, R., Walia, U.S., Kaur, T. 2012. Bioefficacy of herbicides in relation to sowing methods in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **44(4)**: 214-217.
- [6]. Chaudhary, G., Sharma, J.D., Yadav, A.S. 2013. Productivity and economics of late-sown wheat under different sowing methods and weed management practices. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **45(4)**:294-295.
- [7]. Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 680pp.
- [8]. Gopinath, K.A., Kumar, N., Pande, H., Bishth, J.K. 2007. Bio-efficacy of herbicides in wheat under zero and conventional tillage systems. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **39(3-4)**:201-204.
- [9]. Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 111-126.
- [10]. Kumar, S., Agarwal, A. 2011. Effect of different cultural and chemical weed management practices on weeds and wheat. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences, India Section B*. 81:231-234.
- [11]. Kumar, S., Rana, S.S., Ramesh Chander, N. 2013. Herbicide combinations for broad spectrum weed control in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **45(1)**:29-33.
- [12]. Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.W., Watanable, F.S. and Dean, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with NaHCO₃. United States Department of Agriculture Circular 939:19-23.
- [13]. Pradhan, A.C., Chakraborti, P. 2010. Quality Wheat Seed Production through Integrated Weed Management. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **42(3-4)**:159-162.
- [14]. Punia, S.S., Singh, S., Malik, R.K., Yadav, D. 2006. Studies on herbicide mixtures in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **38(1-2)**:1-4.
- [15]. Saquib, M., Bhilare, R.L., Singh, R., Ansari, M.H., Singh, M.P. Kumar, A. 2014. Weed management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Archives* **14(1)**:77-79.
- [16]. Singh, B., Dhaka, A.K., Pannu, R.K., Kumar, S. 2013. Integrated weed management strategies for sustainable wheat production - a review. *Agriculture Reviews* **34(4)**:243-255.
- [17]. Singh, M.R. and Singh, M.K. 2011. Weed management in late sown zero till wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) with varying seed rate. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **56(2)**:127-132.
- [18]. Singh, R.K., Singh, S.K.R., Gautam, U.S. 2013. Weed control efficiency of herbicides in irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* **13(1)**:55-59.
- [19]. Singh, R.K., Verma, S.K., Sharma, R., Singh, S.B. 2009. Bioefficacy and selectivity of Sulfosulfuron and metribuzin before and after irrigation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under zero tillage system. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Science* **79(9)**:735-739.
- [20]. Singh, R.K., Verma, S.K., Singh, R.P. 2013. Bioefficacy of carfentrazone ethyl + sulfosulfuron in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **45(4)**:243-246.
- [21]. Verma, S.K., Singh, R.P., Kumar, S. 2017. Effects of irrigation and herbicides on the growth yield and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Botany* **46(3)**:839-845.
- [22]. Walia, U.S. and Kaur, T., Nayyar, Singh, K. 2010. Performance of carfentrazone-ethyl 20% + Sulfosulfuron 25% WDG - a formulated herbicide for total weed control in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **42(3-4)**:155-158.
- [23]. Yadav, N.S. and Dixit, A. 2014. Bioefficacy of some herbicides and their mixtures against complex weed flora in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **2**:180-183.