

# ( $\Sigma, T$ ) Generalized Derivations in Prime Rings

DR. K.L. Kaushik

*Associate Professor in Mathematics Aggarwal College, Ballabgarh Faridabad, INDIA*

**ABSTRACT:** We prove that  $[x, yz]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau(y)[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z)$ , where  $\sigma, \tau$  are the automorphisms of  $R$ ,  $R$  is 2-torsion free Prime ring.

Finally, we have proved a Theorem from which Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem UrRehman [2] Lemma 2.2 on Page 260 can be derived immediately.

**Keywords** 2-torsion free, Prime Ring,  $(\sigma, \tau)$  generalized derivation, Automorphism.

Date of Submission: 15-09-2020

Date of Acceptance: 26-09-2020

## I. INTRODUCTION

We have used Havala [1] definition, "Let  $R$  be a ring. The additive map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be generalized derivation if

$$f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$$

where  $d$  is derivation of  $R$  and  $x, y \in R$ . We have defined an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $(\sigma, \tau)$  generalized derivation if

$$f(xy) = f(x)\sigma(y) + \sigma(x)d(y) \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

where  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of  $R$ . We have proved that

$$[x, yz]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau(y)[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z)$$

Finally we proved a theorem "Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring,  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  generalized derivation  $f$  such that  $f^2(I) = (0)$  and  $f$  commutes with both  $\sigma, \tau$  Then  $f = 0, d = 0$ ."

From this Theorem we immediately derive Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem Ur-Rehman [2] Lemma 2.2 on Page 260 as corollary.

### Proof Now

$$\begin{aligned} [xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} &= xy\sigma(z) - \tau(z)xy \\ &= xy\sigma(z) - x\tau(z)y + x\tau(z)y - \tau(z)xy \\ &= x(y\sigma(z) - \tau(z)y) + (x\tau(z) - \tau(z)x)y \\ &= x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)]y \\ &\text{Hence 1st part is proved.} \end{aligned}$$

Now 2nd part

$$\begin{aligned} [xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} &= xy\sigma(z) - \tau(z)xy \\ &= xy\sigma(z) - x\sigma(z)y + x\sigma(z)y - \tau(z)xy \\ &= x(y\sigma(z) - x\sigma(z)) + (x\sigma(z) - \tau(z)x)y \\ &= x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma, \tau}y \end{aligned}$$

## 1 $(\sigma, \tau)$ Generalized Derivation

**1.1 Definition(Prime Ring):** Let  $A$  be any ring. Then its is said to be a Prime ring iff

$$xay = 0 \quad a \in A \Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$$

**1.2 Definition(2-Torsion free Prime Ring):** A prime Ring  $A$  with characteristic different from 2 is called 2-Torsion free Prime Ring.

**1.3 Definition  $[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}$ :** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free Prime ring. Let  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of  $R$ . We set

$$[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

**1.4 Definition  $((\sigma, \tau)$  Generalised Derivation):** Havala [1] defined "An additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$ " is called Generalised Derivation if

$$f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$$

where  $d$  is the derivation of  $R$ . We define an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is called  $(\sigma, \tau)$  Generalised Derivation if

$$f(xy) = f(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y) \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

**Lemma 1.5.** Prove that

$$[xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)]y = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma, \tau}y$$

Hence proved.

**Lemma 1.6.** Prove that

$$[x,yz]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(y)[x,y]_{\sigma,\tau} + [x,y]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(z)$$

**Proof** Now

$$\begin{aligned} [x,yz]_{\sigma,\tau} &= x\sigma(yz) - \tau(yz)x \\ &= x\sigma(y)\sigma(z) - \tau(y)\tau(z)x \quad (\because \sigma, \tau \text{ are automorphisms}) \\ &= x\sigma(y)\sigma(z) - \tau(y)x\sigma(z) + \tau(y)x\sigma(z) - \tau(y)\tau(z)x \\ &= (x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x)\sigma(z) + \tau(y)(x\sigma(z) - \tau(z)x) \\ &= [x,y]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(z) + \tau(y)[x,z]_{\sigma,\tau} \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved.

2. Now we prove a Theorem 2.2 which would be useful in getting results generalized derivation. We will use the following Lemma to prove Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1** Let R be a prime ring, I  $\neq 0$  Ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . If R admits a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  derivation d such that  $ad(I) = 0$  or  $d(I)a = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(f(xy)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(f(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(f(x)\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(x)d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(f(x))\sigma(\sigma(y)) + \tau(f(x))d(\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(x))\sigma(d(y)) + \tau(\tau(x))d(d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f^2(x)\sigma^2(y) + \tau(f(x))d(\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(x))\sigma(d(y)) + \tau^2(x)d^2(y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau(f(x))d(\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(x))\sigma(d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau(f(x))\sigma(d(y)) + \tau(f(x))\sigma(d(y)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

( $\because$  f and d both commutes  $\sigma, \tau$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 2\tau(f(x))\sigma(d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau(f(x))\sigma(d(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma^{-1}(\tau(f(x)))d(y) &= 0 \quad \forall x, y \in I \\ \Rightarrow \text{By Lemma 2.1 either } d = 0 \text{ or } \sigma^{-1}(\tau(f(x))) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then either  $d = 0$  or  $a = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let R be a 2-Torsion free ring, I be a nonzero Ideal of R. If R admits a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  generalized derivation f such that  $f^2(I) = (0)$  and f commutes with both  $\sigma, \tau$  Then  $f = 0, d = 0$ .

**Proof** For any  $x \in I$ , we have  $f^2(x) = 0$   
 Replacing x by xy, we get  $f^2(xy) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \sigma^{-1}(\tau(f(x))) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in I \\ \Rightarrow \tau(f(x)) &= 0 \quad \because \sigma = \text{automorphism} \\ \Rightarrow f(x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in I, \quad \because \tau = \\ &\text{automorphism} \\ \text{Replacing } x &\text{ by } xrx, \quad r \in R \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(xr) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(x)\sigma(r) + \tau(x)d(r) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau(x)d(r) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau^{-1}(\tau(x))\tau^{-1}(d(r)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow x\tau^{-1}(d(r)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau^{-1}(d(r)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(r) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f &= 0 \quad d = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved.

**Corollary 2.2.1** Replacing  $f$  by  $d$ , we get Mohd. Asraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rahman [2] Lemma 2.2 on page 260.

## II. CONCLUSION

In this Paper we proved that  $[x,yz]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(y)[x,y]_{\sigma,\tau} + [x,y]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(z)$ , where  $\sigma, \tau$  are the automorphisms of  $R$ . Using this we proved our theorem

”Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring,  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  generalized derivation  $f$  such that  $f^2(I) = (0)$  and  $f$  commutes with both  $\sigma, \tau$  Then  $f = 0, d = 0$ ,” from which Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem Ur-Rehman [2] Lemma 2.2 on Page 260 comes out as a corollary.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Havala, B. generalized Derivations in rings, Communication in Algebra 26 (4), 1147-1166 (1998).
- [2]. Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem Ur-Rehman, “On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Derivations in Prime rings” Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO) Tomus 38 (2002) 259-264.
- [3]. Jacobson Nathan, Lie algebras. Interscience Publishers, New York (1992)
- [4]. I. N. Herstein, Jordan Derivation of Prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 1104-1110.