

Blockchain and the Future of Tax Transparency in the Digital Age

Abulfathi Ibrahim Saleh Al-hussaini^{1*}, Kayode Babarinde²

¹Africa Centre of Excellence in Blockchain Research, Namibia

²Africa Blockchain Institute, Rwanda

Date of Submission: 05-01-2026

Date of Acceptance: 16-01-2026

Abstract

This case study critically analyzes the capacity of Blockchain to enhance tax transparency and combat evasion in the digitized global economy. Utilizing secondary data analysis across five international case studies and OECD inventories, the study assesses Blockchain's intrinsic promise—immutable record-keeping, real-time auditing, and smart contract automation—against its operational reality and competitive landscape. Findings reveal that Blockchain adoption in core transactional tax administration remains low (approximately 10%), with leading jurisdictions such as Estonia and Switzerland primarily utilizing the technology for securing data integrity or providing legal certainty for tokenized assets, rather than for direct collection. Furthermore, Blockchain is currently eclipsed by centralized Government Technology (GovTech) solutions, including Artificial Intelligence (AI) for risk assessment and mandatory Continuous Transaction Control (CTC) e-invoicing, which offer superior immediate returns on investment in fraud detection and compliance. The transition to widespread Blockchain implementation is further impeded by the high cost of infrastructure, the complexity of determining the cross-border "economic nexus," and critical legal conflicts related to data privacy and state sovereignty. Ultimately, Blockchain is identified as a long-term architectural pathway, and its successful integration into the seamless environment of Tax Administration 3.0 requires explicitly resolving these legal ambiguities and resource allocation challenges.

Keywords: Blockchain, Tax Transparency, Digital Transformation, Smart Contracts.

I. Introduction: Digital Transformation, Transparency, and the Global Tax Imperative

The global economy is currently navigating a period of profound digital transformation, fundamentally challenging the structure and efficacy of traditional, geographically bounded tax systems.

As cross-border digital transactions accelerate and new asset classes like cryptocurrencies and tokenized securities become integrated into global finance, the ability of sovereign states to maintain effective tax oversight has been critically tested (EY, 2024). This shift has generated a persistent crisis in tax transparency, characterized by widespread evasion, non-compliance, and escalating complexity in determining where digital value is created and, consequently, where it should be taxed (Baker McKenzie, 2025).

The international community, under the leadership of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), has responded with a sustained, centralized movement to combat this opacity. This movement, rooted in a collective commitment to end bank secrecy, relies on the systematic exchange of information through standardized frameworks (OECD, 2024). Key initiatives, such as the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) and the Crypto-Asset Reporting Framework (CARF)—which mandates the annual exchange of transactional information for digital assets—reinforce the global standard setter's reliance on strong centralized reporting. These efforts define the operational context for digital tax systems, where the ultimate goal is achieving a highly digitized, seamless, and frictionless tax administration (known as Tax Administration 3.0) (OECD, 2020).

Furthermore, the implementation of technology cannot succeed in isolation. Research by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) confirms a critical synergy: stronger digitalization in the corporate sector, coupled with robust Government Technology (GovTech) adoption, is associated with a material increase in tax revenues-to-GDP, underscoring that digitalization must be viewed as a foundational, holistic reform (IMF, 2025). Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT), commonly known as Blockchain, emerges in this high-stakes environment as a potentially transformative architectural solution. Blockchain's inherent features—immutability, transparency, and decentralization—theoretically align perfectly with the goals of reducing fraud, increasing compliance,

and automating the cumbersome processes of manual reporting (PwC, 2017). This case study provides a critical analysis of Blockchain's role within the Digital Transformation & Taxation theme, examining its theoretical promise, verifying its current real-world applications, and assessing its competitive standing against established digital solutions.

II. Problem Statement

Despite decades of efforts toward harmonization, tax systems remain opaque and vulnerable to manipulation. The introduction of blockchain into the digital economy compounds these issues by creating a fundamental tension between innovation and administrative control. Firstly, the borderless nature of digital commerce and automated exchanges via smart contracts introduce a "Jurisdictional Paradox," making it fundamentally difficult to determine the appropriate tax jurisdiction or "economic nexus" when value is created autonomously across borders (Analysis Group, 2018). This complexity forces tax authorities to rely solely on the self-reporting of sellers if they are not integrated into the Blockchain network, which can lead to disputes and uncertainty regarding liability (Analysis Group, 2018). Secondly, current tax infrastructure often relies on centralized databases and periodic reporting, providing authorities with delayed, aggregated data. This legacy approach struggles to provide the verifiable, real-time insights necessary for continuous transaction controls and proactive fraud detection, making systems highly susceptible to fraud and error. Finally, the open, pseudonymous nature of permissionless Blockchain systems conflicts with the state's fundamental need for sovereign oversight and control over financial activity; governments are reluctant to allow exchange that is difficult to track, citing concerns over money laundering and tax evasion (Business of Government, 2021). Furthermore, Blockchain's inherent transparency directly clashes with stringent data protection laws, as data on distributed ledgers can often be traced back to an identifiable person and thus qualifies as personal data, creating significant compliance hurdles (Pestalozzi, 2019).

III. Methodology

To assess the strategic role of Blockchain in enhancing tax transparency within the framework of Digital Transformation and Taxation, this study employs a critical analysis approach based on verifiable secondary data. Data was rigorously collected from international standard-setting bodies (OECD, IMF), legal and academic journals, and

detailed industry reports focusing on Blockchain, taxation, and digital governance.

The methodology specifically analyzed the application of Blockchain in five distinct international jurisdictions and policy frameworks, critically exploring official government case studies to distinguish between the use of Blockchain for transactional tax *collection* versus its application for *data integrity* or *legal infrastructure*. Furthermore, a key component of the analysis involved comparing Blockchain's operational cost-benefit ratio and global adoption rate against competing, more mature digital solutions, notably Artificial Intelligence (AI) and mandatory Continuous Transaction Control (CTC) e-invoicing systems. This robust approach ensures a grounded and comprehensive overview of Blockchain's promise and limitations.

IV. Blockchain Technology and its Core Relevance to Tax Systems

Blockchain is a decentralized technology that ensures all transactions are recorded across a distributed network of computers, creating a shared, immutable ledger. This capability forms the basis of Blockchain's relevance to modern tax systems, which is primarily rooted in three intrinsic features: immutability, real-time data access, and smart contract automation. By ensuring that all transactions are permanently recorded in validated blocks, Blockchain offers tax authorities a high-integrity, tamper-proof audit trail, theoretically capable of reducing complex tax crimes such as VAT carousel fraud by securely tracking payments throughout the supply chain (PwC, 2017). Furthermore, a shared, distributed ledger enables near-real-time visibility of transactions for all integrated network participants, allowing tax administrations to shift compliance from a periodic, reactive event to a continuous, proactive process (Microsoft, 2021). Finally, smart contracts—self-executing digital agreements—offer the potential to automatically calculate, withhold, and pay taxes for transactions based on pre-encoded legislative logic, streamlining complex compliance processes and minimizing human error. However, for tax purposes, leveraging this feature often necessitates the use of permissioned or consortium blockchains controlled by government agencies to enforce accountability and meet sovereign oversight demands (Business of Government, 2021).

V. Real-World Applications and Global Frameworks

Despite significant global discussion, Blockchain adoption in core tax administration remains

exploratory, with only approximately 10% of global tax administrations reporting its use in taxation processes (OECD, 2024). Verified applications confirm that Blockchain's most effective current role is in supporting data integrity and providing legal certainty for new digital assets.

The following examples illustrate the varied approaches taken by jurisdictions worldwide:

Estonia: Data Integrity Assurance Estonia, a leader in e-governance, uses its digital identity (e-ID) and the central X-Road data exchange platform (E-Estonia, 2014). The government's DLT adoption centers on the KSI blockchain technology, which functions as a defensive security measure. Its role is to provide cryptographic proof that the underlying data—including records exchanged between government systems and tax records—has not been tampered with (E-Estonia, 2014). This confirms that Estonia utilizes Blockchain primarily to guarantee data *integrity*, supporting the centralized electronic filing system managed by the Estonian Tax and Customs Board (ETCB) (Ratti, 2020). Furthermore, the ETCB is preparing for the mandatory international submission of crypto-asset transaction data by service providers, effective in 2027, fulfilling the OECD's CARF mandate (Estonian Tax and Customs Board, 2024).

Switzerland: Legal Certainty for Digital Assets Switzerland enacted its Blockchain Act in 2021, creating a legal framework for *DLT rights* (tokenized assets) and clarifying the segregation of crypto-based assets in the event of bankruptcy (Swiss Federal Department of Finance, 2022). This legislation provides crucial legal certainty for financial market participants. For individuals, private capital gains from cryptocurrency trading are generally tax-free, but the total value of these assets is subject to an annual cantonal **wealth tax** (Blockpit, n.d. ;Cointracking, n.d.). Switzerland's approach confirms that clarifying the legal classification of digital assets is more critical than Blockchain integration itself, allowing existing tax mechanisms to apply effectively (PwC, 2020).

United Arab Emirates (UAE): Prioritizing Continuous Transaction Control (CTC) The UAE has undergone a rapid digital tax transformation, including the introduction of Corporate Tax and a mandate for e-invoicing. The government's strategy for VAT compliance centers on the implementation

of a mandatory, five-corner Decentralized Continuous Transaction Control and Exchange (DCTCE) model utilizing the standardized PEPPOL network (EY, 2024). This CTC system is designed to streamline compliance and reduce fraud using established protocols. Critically, official legislative updates regarding the e-invoicing system do not explicitly mandate or confirm the use of Blockchain in the core VAT transaction control system (Alvarez & Marsal, 2024). Instead, the UAE Federal Tax Authority (FTA) has introduced a policy to exempt transfers, conversions, and exchanges of virtual currencies from VAT, demonstrating a strategy focused on attracting the blockchain industry through policy incentives (TaxGian, 2024).

European Union (EU): Cross-Border Entity Verification The European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI), established by 29 countries, is piloting DLT for production-level public services. One of its key focus areas within the Tax and Customs domain is the Verification of Legal Entities (European Blockchain Services Infrastructure, 2023). This initiative demonstrates a consortium approach aimed at solving the foundational challenge of verifying cross-border identity and legal status, which is a mandatory prerequisite for any large-scale, automated Blockchain tax application.

Nigeria: Foundational Policy and Incentives Several developing nations are prioritizing the creation of a national Blockchain legal and policy framework before pilot implementation. For instance, Nigeria's National Blockchain Policy is designed to promote education, provide incentives such as tax breaks, and encourage entrepreneurship in the Blockchain ecosystem, aligning the sector with the country's broader digital economy goals (National Information Technology Development Agency, 2023). This focus illustrates a strategic approach where legal and policy foundations must be established, along with industry incentives, before mandatory tax compliance applications can be developed.

Summary of International Blockchain Tax Applications

The table 1 below summarizes the strategic use of Blockchain across various jurisdictions, highlighting its current role as primarily an integrity or policy tool, rather than a core tax collection mechanism.

Table 1 International Jurisdictional Approaches to Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) in Tax Administration

Characteristic	Primary DLT/GovTech Focus	DLT Role in Core Tax Administration	Digital Asset Tax Status (Private)	Key Regulatory Mandate
Estonia	Cyber security and Data Integrity Assurance (KSI)	Defensive layer ensuring data immutability for centralized records.	Income Tax on property gains (20-22%); CARF reporting mandatory by 2027.	CARF/International Exchange
Switzerland	Legal Certainty for Tokenized Assets (DLT Rights)	Low direct use; DLT Act clarifies asset classification for wealth tax and stamp duty.	Capital gains tax-free; subject to annual cantonal wealth tax.	DLT Act (2021)
UAE	Continuous Transaction Control (CTC) via PEPPOL	Not explicitly mandated for core VAT e-invoicing; policy relies on standardized CTC.	VAT exempt (since Nov 2024); policy incentive to attract industry.	Federal Decree Law No. 16/2024
European Union (EBSI)	Cross-border Legal Entity Verification	Pilot programs focusing on foundational issues like identity verification for customs/tax.	Varies by member state.	EBSI Consortium
Nigeria	National Policy and Entrepreneurship Incentives	Focus on creating incentives (tax breaks) and foundational infrastructure before pilot implementation.	Focus on future taxing channels.	National Blockchain Policy (2023)

VI. Key Benefits and Challenges

Blockchain's potential benefits for tax administration—including reduced administrative burden, lowered cost of compliance, and immediate fraud mitigation—are clear (PwC, 2017). However, the technology is currently unable to compete effectively with more mature digital solutions in terms of immediate return on investment, leading to significant strategic challenges.

Blockchain's Competitive and Implementation Hurdles

The high cost of replacing legacy national tax systems and the complexity of governance restructure have resulted in DLT losing the strategic resource allocation competition to highly effective, centralized solutions as summarized Table 2.

Table 2 Comparative Analysis of Digital Tax Administration Technologies

Characteristic	Primary Tax Function $f(x)$	Global Adoption Level (OECD 2024)	Operational Advantage	Key Constraint/Barrier
DLT	Data integrity, secure record sharing, smart contract potential	Low (~10% of administrations)	Creates immutable, tamper-proof records and continuous audit trails	High implementation cost, data privacy conflict, legal jurisdictional complexity
AI/ML	Risk assessment, fraud detection, predictive auditing	High (29 of 38 OECD members use AI)	Leverages existing centralized data for immediate, high ROI in fraud detection and risk targeting	Algorithmic bias, ethical governance, requires robust centralized data architecture
E-Invoicing/CTC	Real-time transaction validation, VAT compliance, pre-filled returns	High/Increasing (Major focus globally)	Achieves near-real-time compliance using proven, scalable, standardized protocols (e.g., PEPPOL)	High up-front mandate cost, requiring deep integration across business systems

The Dominance of AI and CTC Systems: AI and Machine Learning (ML) solutions are used by the majority of OECD members for risk targeting, predictive auditing, and detecting fraud (OECD, 2024). The US Internal Revenue Service (IRS), for instance, has credited its use of data analytics and algorithms with major strides in identifying tax fraud, confirming the technology's immediate, high-leverage returns (Freeman Law, 2019). Similarly, mandatory CTC e-invoicing systems (as seen in the UAE) achieve near-real-time compliance and fraud reduction using proven, scalable protocols, establishing them as the preferred investment for reducing the substantial VAT gap across Europe and the Middle East (Capgemini, 2024; EY, 2024).

Legal and Data Privacy Conflicts: The legal friction introduced by Blockchain is significant. Pseudonymous data on Blockchain systems often qualifies as personal data under stringent regulations like Switzerland's Federal Act on Data Protection (FADP) (Pestalozzi, 2019). This implies that all participants—the initiator, receiver, and validator (miner)—may be considered data processors, legally liable for compliance with principles of transparency, proportionality, and cross-border data protection. Any proposed Blockchain architecture must explicitly resolve this tension between auditable transparency and mandated privacy protection.

VII. Conclusion and Future Outlook

Blockchain represents a significant, yet long-term, architectural pathway for enhancing tax transparency. It promises a model for continuous, auditable compliance capable of reducing tax fraud and streamlining administration. However, its current role is exploratory, moderated by high infrastructural costs, complex legal challenges regarding data privacy and jurisdiction, and strong competition from established, high-ROI digital solutions like AI and CTC e-invoicing. The future of tax administration (Tax Administration 3.0) will not be defined by a single technology, but by the effective synergy between firm digitalization and government technology, leading to material increases in tax revenue. For Blockchain to transition from a supporting integrity tool to a core transactional mechanism, tax authorities must achieve two critical milestones: they must establish unambiguous legal criteria for assigning **economic nexus** to automated, cross-border smart contract transactions to resolve the jurisdictional paradox; and they must design Blockchain models, likely permissioned systems, that efficiently balance auditable transparency with mandated personal data protection, ensuring compliance with global privacy standards. In the immediate term, global policy is prioritizing standardized, centralized solutions—

such as CARF and AI—to ensure tax certainty, meaning Blockchain DLT’s most effective current role remains as a trusted, secondary layer, guaranteeing the integrity of centralized records and providing the necessary legal infrastructure for new digital asset classes

References

- [1]. Alvarez & Marsal. (2024). *Middle East Tax Alert: UAE Amendment to UAE VAT Law No. 16*. <https://www.alvarezandmarsal.com/insights/middle-east-tax-alert-uae-amendment-uae-vat-law-no-16>
- [2]. Analysis Group. (2018). *Taxing Questions: Managing the Taxation Complexities of Smart Contracts*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://www.analysisgroup.com/Insights/ag-feature/analysis-group-forum/spring-2019/taxing-questions-managing-the-taxation-complexities-of-smart-contracts/>
- [3]. Blockpit. (n.d.). *Crypto Tax Guide Switzerland*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://www.blockpit.io/tax-guides/crypto-tax-guide-switzerland>
- [4]. Business of Government. (2021). *The Decisive Role of Data Architecture and AI in Tax Agencies*. <https://businessofgovernment.org/blog/decisive-role-data-architecture-and-ai-tax-agencies>
- [5]. Capgemini. (2024). *2024 Key Trends in Tax Administrations*. *Capgemini Insights*. <https://www.capgemini.com/insights/expert-perspectives/2024-key-trends-in-tax-administrations/>
- [6]. Cointracking. (n.d.). *Crypto Taxes Switzerland*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://cointracking.info/crypto-taxes-switzerland>
- [7]. E-Estonia. (n.d.). *E-ID: The Cornerstone of a Seamless Digital Society*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://e-estonia.com/solutions/estonian-e-identity/e-residency/>
- [8]. El-Kassar, A., & El-Masry, A. (2021). Blockchain for VAT systems in the UAE: Efficiency, challenges, and benefits. *International Journal of Blockchain and Cryptography*, 6(3), 45–59.
- [9]. Estonian Tax and Customs Board. (2024). *When declaring crypto-asset transactions, trading fees can be included in the acquisition cost*. <https://www.emta.ee/en/news/when-declaring-crypto-asset-transactions-trading-fees-can-be-included-acquisition-cost>
- [10]. European Blockchain Services Infrastructure. (2023). *Tax and Customs: Verification of Legal Entities*. (<https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-blocks/sites/spaces/EBSI/pages/447687044/Home>)
- [11]. EY. (2024). *UAE formally announces introduction of e-invoicing, launches e-invoicing portal and amends VAT Law provisions*. https://www.ey.com/en_gl/technical/tax-alerts/uae-formally-announces-introduction-of-e-invoicing-launches-e-invoicing-portal-and-amends-vat-law-provisions
- [12]. EY. (2024). *How a Decade of Transparency Forever Changed the Tax World*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. https://www.ey.com/en_lv/insights/tax/how-a-decade-of-transparency-forever-changed-the-tax-world
- [13]. Freeman Law. (2019). *The IRS and Big Data: The Future of Fighting Tax Fraud*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://freemanlaw.com/the-irs-and-big-data-the-future-of-fighting-tax-fraud/>
- [14]. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2025). *Leveraging Digital Technologies in Boosting Tax Collection* (Working Paper). (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2025/05/09/Leveraging-Digital-Technologies-in-Boosting-Tax-Collection-566807>)
- [15]. Lightspark. (n.d.). *Is Crypto Legal in Estonia*. Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://www.lightspark.com/knowledge/is-crypto-legal-in-estonia>
- [16]. Microsoft. (2021). *Analytics for Fraud Prevention and Fraud Detection in Taxes*. <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/industry/blog/government/2021/10/28/analytics-for-fraud-prevention-and-fraud-detection-in-taxes/>
- [17]. Nakamoto, S. (2008). *Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system*. Retrieved from <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
- [18]. National Information Technology Development Agency (Nigeria). (2023). *National Blockchain Policy*. (<https://nitda.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/National-Blockchain-Policy.pdf>)
- [19]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *Tax Administration 3.0: The Digital Transformation of Tax Administration*. OECD Publishing.

- https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/tax-administration-digitalisation-and-digital-transformation-initiatives_c076d776-en/full-report/component-10.html
- [20]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *Tax Administration Digitalisation and Digital Transformation Initiatives* (Inventory of Tax Technology Initiatives). OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/tax-administration-digitalisation-and-digital-transformation-initiatives_c076d776-en/full-report/component-9.html
- [21]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *Tax Transparency and International Co-operation*. <https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/policy-issues/tax-transparency-and-international-co-operation.html>
- [22]. Pestalozzi. (2019). *Decentralizing Privacy: Are Distributed Ledger Technology Systems Designed to Protect Personal Data?* Retrieved October 8, 2025. <https://pestalozzilaw.com/en/insights/news/legal-insights/decentralizing-privacy-are-distributed-ledger-technology-systems-designed-protect-personal-data/>
- [23]. PwC. (2017). *How blockchain could improve the tax system*. <https://www.pwc.co.uk/issues/futuretax/assets/documents/how-blockchain-could-improve-the-tax-system.pdf>
- [24]. PwC. (2020). *Taxation of Cryptocurrencies: Update of the SFTA Working Paper*. <https://www.pwc.ch/en/insights/tax/taxation-of-cryptocurrencies-update-of-the-sfta-working-paper.html>
- [25]. Ratti, P. (2020). Blockchain technology for public sector digital transformation: The case of Estonia's tax system. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 28(4), 1050–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-03-2020-0089>
- [26]. Swiss Federal Department of Finance. (2022). *Blockchain DLT*. <https://www.sif.admin.ch/en/blockchain-dlt-en>
- [27]. TaxGian. (2024). *How Will VAT Exemptions for Crypto Assets in the UAE Benefit Investors?* <https://taxgian.ae/how-will-vat-exemptions-for-crypto-assets-in-the-uae-benefit-investors/>
- [28]. World Economic Forum. (2020). *Blockchain and the future of tax transparency*. Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/reports/blockchain-and-the-future-of-tax-transparency>
- [29]. Zohar, Y. (2022). Blockchain energy consumption: A critical analysis. *Journal of Blockchain Research*, 10(2), 123–139. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jbr.2022.0198>