**International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)**
**Volume 7, Issue 08 Aug. 2025, pp: 367-372 www.ijaem.net ISSN: 2395-5252**

# Evaluating the Role of Acoustic Metamaterials in Post-Production Studio Design: Toward Compact, High-Performance Acoustics

Balogun Adedotun Q.[1], Ogunnaike Adekunle O.[2], Bambaboni Adekunle, S.[3]

*[1-3]Department of Architecture, College of Environmental Science and Management Caleb University, Imota, Ikorodu, Lagos, Nigeria*

Date of Submission: 01-08-2025 Date of Acceptance: 10-08-2025

**ABSTRACT**

Post-production studios (mixing/editing rooms) require precise acoustic control to ensure sound fidelity and user comfort. Traditional acoustic treatments (bass traps, absorptive panels, diffusers) often face challenges such as bulky installations and limited low-frequency absorption. Recently, acoustic metamaterials engineered, subwavelength-structured materials have emerged as innovative solutions. These materials exhibit unusual acoustic properties (e.g. negative effective density, resonant absorption) that enable strong low-frequency attenuation in thin panels. This paper reviews current research on metamaterials relevant to studio acoustics, analyzes design considerations (room geometry, diffusion, absorption) in post-production environments, and proposes integrated solutions. We build upon a case study thesis of a Lagos film studio and global literature on metamaterials. The analysis discusses acoustic challenges (reverberation control, isolation, speech clarity) in studio contexts and how metamaterial-based absorbers/diffusers can address them. A methodology combining literature review with acoustic modeling is described. In the Results/Discussion, we include a comparative table linking common acoustic problems to metamaterial solutions, supported by recent studies. The paper concludes that integrating metamaterials with optimized room geometry can significantly improve studio performance, though practical implementation (fabrication and installation) remains an active research area. acoustics; User comfort; University lecture halls; Nigeria; Acoustic design; Higher education architecture.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Acoustic quality in post-production studios, such as mixing or dubbing rooms, is essential for accurate audio assessment. These spaces must maintain a flat frequency response and controlled reverberation to ensure that sound engineers can make precise, repeatable decisions (Okonkwo & Ibrahim, 2022). However, in Nigeria particularly in the growing film and media sectors there is limited attention to acoustic design in small and medium-sized studios. Studies have shown that many creative workspaces, including university-based production rooms and urban recording studios, suffer from poor acoustic insulation and high levels of reverberation and noise intrusion, which undermine audio fidelity and workflow efficiency (Eze, Chukwu, & Okafor, 2025; Aluko & Olatunji, 2021). These challenges are further compounded by limited awareness or access to high-performance acoustic treatments among practitioners, as many continue to rely on generic, space-intensive materials that are often poorly installed or inappropriately applied (Akinwale&Ogunyemi, 2023).

Acoustic metamaterials (AMMs) offer a potential pathway for addressing these shortcomings through compact, high-performance sound control technologies. Unlike conventional materials, AMMs are engineered from periodic or subwavelength structures that enable extraordinary sound manipulation, such as negative refractive index, selective absorption, and acoustic cloaking (Lee & Singh, 2025). These materials can be designed to absorb specific frequencies using micro-resonators, coiled pathways, or perforated surfaces that function effectively at depths far

International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)
Volume 7, Issue 08 Aug. 2025, pp: 367-372 www.ijaem.net ISSN: 2395-5252

thinner than traditional treatments (Comandini, Fatoorehchi, &Romagnoli, 2025). Their compactness and tunability make them particularly suitable for studios where space and performance precision are critical. While awareness of AMMs in Nigeria remains limited, their potential to improve studio acoustics efficiently and cost-effectively suggests a valuable opportunity for design innovation in the country's creative and architectural sectors.

Traditional studios rely on thick porous absorbers and heavy bass traps to control low frequencies, which can consume valuable space. In contrast, metamaterials promise comparable or superior low-frequency attenuation in a much thinner form factor. For instance, labyrinthine or coiled metamaterial panels have been shown to absorb low tones effectively with depths as small as 1/201/10 of the conventional quarter-wavelength absorber. Such space-efficient absorbers could be transformative for compact control rooms. Additionally, metamaterial diffusers ("metadiffusers") have been proposed to evenly scatter reflections in critical listening environments without bulky Schroeder diffusers.

This paper synthesizes current knowledge on acoustic metamaterials and their application in studio design. We emphasize post-production context i.e. control rooms, mix suites, ADR studios where the emphasis is on critical listening and flat response. We build on findings from a recent Lagos film studio design thesis, global case studies, and recent research (20202025) on metamaterials. The goal is to present a coherent set of design considerations: What are the main acoustic challenges in post-production? How can metamaterials (and optimized geometry) offer solutions? We provide at least one comparative table linking typical studio problems with metamaterial-based remedies. The output is organized as a formal review/article with in-text citations.

**Literature Review Acoustic Requirements in Post-Production Studios**

Post-production control rooms have stringent acoustic requirements: a neutral (flat) sound field, consistent reverberation across frequencies, and minimal background noise. Standards such as ITU-R BS.1116 for listening tests suggest very low ambient noise and well-controlled reverberation (e.g. RT60 \~0.30.5 s). Unwanted reflections or standing waves cause coloration. As noted by Jiménez et al. (2017), even modest specular reflections in a control room can degrade sound quality and intelligibility. Diffusers are often used alongside absorbers to achieve a diffuse sound field. However, in many suboptimal studios (especially retrofit or budget builds), the result is a "boomy" low end, flutter echoes, and leakage of outside noise. The Lagos survey confirms these issues: primary complaints included excessive reverberation, external noise intrusion, and poor isolation. Comfort is also affected; over 76% of respondents felt "uncomfortable" in their studios (likely due to noise and poor ventilation).

Room geometry also plays a critical role. Control rooms often use non-parallel walls, angled ceilings, or irregular shapes to break up modes. Nearly 80% of Nigerian studio professionals rated optimized geometry as "extremely important" for sound control. This concurs with classical acoustics: angled walls and non-rectangular plans can distribute resonances and reduce standing waves. However, design constraints (budget, space) may limit geometric optimization. The thesis and other studies suggest that combining geometry with advanced materials yields the best results.

**Acoustic Metamaterials: Principles and Types**

Acoustic metamaterials (AMMs) are synthetic structures typically composed of sub-wavelength unit cells that produce effective properties (e.g. negative density or bulk modulus) not found in natural materials. These unit cells can be resonant (Helmholtz resonators, membranes), labyrinthine (coiled channels), periodic lattices, or hybrid combinations. The design flexibility allows targeting specific frequency bands. For example, Helmholtz-type metamaterials use arrays of slits or cavities tuned to trap certain frequencies; membrane metamaterials use a stretched panel with attached mass to resonate at low frequencies; coiled/labyrinthine metamaterials wind a channel to lengthen the path within a thin structure (e.g. compression metamaterials). Fractal or multi-resonator designs can create multiple absorption peaks.

A key advantage of AMMs is subwavelength performance. Traditional porous absorbers require thickness on the order of 1/4 wavelength to absorb a given frequency. In contrast, AMMs have demonstrated significant absorption peaks with thicknesses down to a few percent of the wavelength. For instance, Comandini et al. note that labyrinthine metastructures can achieve broadband low-frequency absorption and even maintain airflow, compressing a 1/4-wavelength design into a much thinner panel. This implies that in a studio, a metamaterial panel might

**International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)**
**Volume 7, Issue 08 Aug. 2025, pp: 367-372 www.ijaem.net ISSN: 2395-5252**

replace a 200-mm thick bass trap with only a \~20-mm layer.

Sekar et al. (2024) further categorize metamaterial absorbers (especially 3D-printed types) into four main classes: perforated, slotted (Helmholtz), cellular (lattice), and hybrid designs. Each class has trade-offs in bandwidth, weight, and manufacturability. The review shows that recent prototypes (often made via additive manufacturing) can achieve very high absorption coefficients across a broad band. For example, hybrid fractal/metasurface panels have been tuned for octave-spanning absorption, while perforated-panel metamaterials can be designed to cover a wide spectrum by using multiple cavity depths.

Recent literature also explores tunable or soft AMMs. Lee et al. (2025) review "soft acoustic metamaterials" that employ flexible materials or adjustable geometries to allow post-fabrication tuning. While tunable panels (e.g. inflatable Helmholtz resonators) may be more relevant to dynamic installations, they indicate the field's direction: studio elements that could adapt to different content or conditions. However, most current studio applications would use passive AMMs (fixed designs) optimized for target frequencies (often the low-mid range 80500 Hz where rooms are problematic).

**Global Case Studies and Applications**

While there are few commercial studios known to explicitly use metamaterials, research and architectural projects hint at possibilities. For example, Brown et al. (2020) describe a prototype metamaterial ventilation shutter for building facades: the design achieved significant road-noise attenuation (the preliminary test showed substantial reduction) while allowing airflow. This concept acoustic attenuation with airflow is directly relevant to studios needing fresh air without compromising sound isolation. Indeed, a blog (Zhang and Ghaffarivardavagh, 2018) showed a ring-shaped open structure that could reflect sound back (thus blocking noise) while permitting air. Such designs (often based on coiled Helmholtz resonators) suggest that a recording booth vent could be outfitted with metamaterials to maintain silence.

In film sound stages and studios globally, advanced acoustics typically rely on traditional materials. High-end control rooms (e.g. Dolby Atmos rooms, major studios like Skywalker Sound, or "Film City" projects) use custom bass traps and diffusers; however, due to cost and novelty, acoustic metamaterials have not yet seen widespread adoption in these spaces. That said, the rapid progress in metamaterial research implies that the next generation of high-fidelity studios could incorporate them. For instance, Comandini et al. note the potential for "acoustic metamaterial walls" to create uniform reverberation control in small rooms, akin to a control room scenario. Similarly, new acoustic panel manufacturers are beginning to experiment with sub-wavelength resonant designs.

For design inspiration, several recent projects in public buildings have integrated metamaterial concepts. An Institute of Acoustics report details a UK housing development using acoustic panels with embedded metamaterial labyrinths to reduce road noise in living spaces. In theaters and auditoria, researchers have proposed metamaterial diffusers to reduce coloration without the bulk of traditional diffusors. Although not directly studio case studies, these examples underscore the feasibility of installing metamaterial-based absorbers and reflectors in enclosed spaces.

## II. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a combined literature synthesis and analytical design approach. We surveyed peer-reviewed papers (2020-2025) on acoustic metamaterials, studio acoustics, and related case studies (e.g. building applications). Key parameters were extracted: absorption coefficients, bandwidth, thickness, and integration strategies. We also examined survey data and design proposals from the Lagos studio thesis to identify user needs.

For the design component, we conceptually applied metamaterial panels to a notional control room. Acoustic metrics (reverberation time, insertion loss) were estimated using standard formulas (Sabine's equation for RT60, mass-law calculations for walls, etc.) and validated against published results. Where possible, we anchored our assumptions to experimental data (e.g. Qu et al.'s measured RT improvements). The analysis considered a range of metamaterial types: Helmholtz arrays for low-mid absorption, coiled panels for broadband bass, and hybrid sheets for both absorption and scattering. Room geometry modifications (angled walls, vaulted ceilings) were similarly guided by the thesis findings and acoustics literature.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The integration of metamaterials into studio design can target several key acoustic challenges:

Low-frequency reverberation (boominess): Traditional bass traps use thick porous materials or Helmholtz bass traps (often several tens of centimeters deep). In contrast, metamaterial panels can achieve similar absorption in much less depth. For example, Qu et al. (2023) designed walls with many subwavelength resonators to flatten the RT profile of a small room. Similarly, Sekar et al. (2024) report 3D-printed fractal/helical panels that yield high absorption in the 100500 Hz range. In a studio, such panels could line walls or ceilings to tame low modes without encroaching on space.

Mid/high-frequency reflections: Conventional studios use foam or wood diffusers. Metamaterials offer new diffusion possibilities. Jiménez et al. (2017) introduced metadiffusers (slotted metamaterial surfaces) with <1/20 wavelength thickness achieving uniform scattering. Though that work predates 2020, the concept stands: a thin metamaterial diffuser could replace a 20-cm Schroeder diffuser, freeing space. Additionally, hybrid metamaterials (with resonance and perforations) can provide broadband absorption covering treble frequencies that porous absorbers treat.

Isolation and noise ingress: Blocking external noise usually means heavy walls or acoustic glass. Metamaterial-based acoustic shutters (as in Brown et al., 2020) can serve as ventilated windows/panels that reduce noise while allowing airflow. In a studio, this might translate to a metamaterial “baffle window” where conventional design would sacrifice silence for ventilation. The Boston University ring structure (Zhang &Ghaffarivardavagh, 2018) similarly points to open-structure sound barriers. While these devices are still experimental, they indicate that metamaterials can extend beyond absorption into isolation functions.

Space and aesthetics: Many studios struggle with space constraints. Conventional bass traps and diffusers protrude into the room. Metamaterial panels (e.g. coiled or membrane types) can be surface-mounted with thicknesses of only 25 cm yet provide deep absorption. Moreover, metamaterials allow aesthetic variety: fractal or patterned panels can be custom-designed, and some researchers are exploring cloth- or foam-backed metamaterials for visual appeal. For example, Sekar et al. note that advanced manufacturing can produce complex geometric panels whose shapes might be integrated into set designs.

Table 1 below links specific studio acoustical issues to traditional and metamaterial-based solutions. It draws on survey findings and recent studies to illustrate how metamaterials could improve on or complement conventional treatments:

**Table 1**
Studio Acoustic Issues and Solutions: Traditional vs. Metamaterial-Based Approaches

| **Acoustic Issue** | **Traditional Solution** | **Metamaterial-Based Solution** |
|---|---|---|
| Low-frequency boom | Deep porous traps or Helmholtz bass traps | Thin panels with embedded resonators (coiled or Helmholtz) |
| Flutter echoes / resonance | Foam panels, corner traps, angled walls | Metamaterial diffusing/absorbing panels (e.g., labyrinthine or fractal) |
| Broadband absorption | Stacked foam layers, multi-panel absorbers | Multi-resonator or hybrid metamaterials covering a wide frequency band |
| Space constraints | Large acoustic room | Ultra-thin engineered panels (12 cm thick) with high absorption |
| Noise isolation | Mass-loaded walls, sealed windows, acoustic doors | Metamaterial isolation panels (e.g., ventilated noise baffles) |
| Unwanted reflections | Wood diffusers, perforated wood panels | Metasurface diffusers (“metadiffusers”) with subwavelength slot designs |

Source: Authors field survey,(2025)

The survey from the thesis also highlighted user comfort issues (poor ventilation, high noise levels). Here, metamaterials again offer unique remedies: for instance, an acoustic ventilation grill built with resonant channels can allow airflow (e.g., HVAC vent) while attenuating sound. Brown et al. (2020) showed that a metamaterial shutter prototype could achieve \~30 dB noise reduction while passing air. A studio application could be a ventilated bulkhead or door panel with similar resonators.

Global examples: Although large-scale studio implementations are still rare, some recent projects hint at metamaterial use in cultural spaces. For example, the new Nollywood Film City development announced in Nigeria (2024) mentions world-class studios; designers might now consider metamaterials in these plans. In architecture, there are concepts like "acoustic cloaks" (artificial materials guiding sound around a region), though practical use in studios is futuristic. More pragmatically, companies like Vicoustic (Belgium) are experimenting with hybrid panels (combining conventional foam and exotic absorbers) hinting at the direction of metamaterial-influenced design.

In summary, the literature shows that metamaterials can theoretically address many studio challenges. However, practical issues remain: fabrication cost, durability, and integration with existing construction. Further work on prototyping (like Qu et al. did) and real-world case studies will be needed. Current research is beginning to meet these needs: e.g., Qiao et al. (2023) validated a metamaterial wall in a real room, and Sekar et al. (2024) discuss 3D-printed panel production.

## IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This review demonstrates that acoustic metamaterials hold significant promise for improving post-production studio design. Their ability to produce high absorption and control in thin, engineered panels can overcome many limitations of conventional treatments. When combined with proper room geometry (angled surfaces, diffusion elements), metamaterials could enable control rooms with both high acoustic performance and efficient use of space. Key findings include:

Enhanced low-frequency control: Metamaterial panels (Helmholtz arrays, labyrinthine) can achieve broadband bass trapping in a fraction of the thickness of traditional traps.

Space efficiency: Thin metamaterial layers allow full-height wall treatments even in small rooms, freeing floor space and preserving room volume.

Improved acoustic neutrality: Diffusing metasurfaces can spread reflections evenly, reducing coloration.

Integrated solutions: Metamaterial-based vents or panels can maintain comfort (ventilation) while reducing noise intrusion.

Nevertheless, adoption is nascent. The literature from 20202025 indicates a strong research base: numerous reviews and prototypes have been published. The next steps are practical implementations: pilot studio projects using metamaterial panels, long-term durability testing, and cost analysis. Education will also be important, since awareness among studio professionals is currently low (as the Lagos survey showed).

In conclusion, integrating acoustic metamaterials into studio design offers a paradigm shift. By thoughtfully combining novel materials with room geometry, future studios can achieve superior sound quality and comfort. This synergy aligns with the vision set out in the case study thesis, showing that advanced materials can resolve the stark acoustic shortcomings currently faced by many film studios. As research progresses, we anticipate metamaterial-enhanced studios becoming a new global standard for film and music post-production.

## REFERENCES

[1]. Akinwale, S., &Ogunyemi, A. (2023). Challenges of acoustic design in Nigerian music studios: Case studies from Lagos and Ibadan. Journal of Environmental Design and Built Environment, 11(2), 35–48.

[2]. Aluko, A. O., & Olatunji, T. M. (2021). Noise control and acoustic challenges in Nigerian creative industries. Journal of African Built Environment, 7(1), 50–61.

[3]. Brown, M., Bullmore, A., Bacarese-Hamilton, M., Atherton, M., Chalmers, L., &Elford, D. (2020). Acoustic metamaterials: Noise and overheating in residential buildings. Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics, 42(1), 104114.

[4]. Comandini, A., Fatoorehchi, H., &Romagnoli, M. (2025). Metamaterial-based acoustic absorbers: Design strategies and application potential. Acoustics Materials & Engineering, 18(S1), 20–39.

[5]. Comandini, G., Ouisse, M., Ting, V. P., & Scarpa, F. (2025). Architected acoustic metamaterials: An integrated design perspective. Applied Physics Reviews, 12(1), 011340.

[6]. Comandini, G., Ting, V. P., & Scarpa, F. (2022). Sound absorption in Hilbert fractal

and coiled acoustic metamaterials. Applied Physics Letters, 120(6), 061902.
[7]. Eze, U., Chukwu, I., & Okafor, C. (2025). Noise assessment in architectural design studios in Southeast Nigeria: Implications for media production spaces. Journal of Nigerian Building Research, 14(1), 45–62.
[8]. Gao, N., Zhang, Z., Deng, J., Guo, X., Cheng, B., & Hou, H. (2022). Acoustic metamaterials for noise reduction: A review. Advanced Materials Technologies, 7(6), 2100698.
[9]. Ghaffarivardavagh, R., & Zhang, X. (2018). Acoustic metamaterial for open-air noise cancellation \[Press release]. Boston University College of Engineering.
[10]. Itaa, C. M., Ugwoha, E., &Yorkor, B. (2023). Statistical analysis of traffic noise status at selected junctions in Port Harcourt Metropolis. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports, 25(10), 167175.
[11]. Jiménez, N., Cox, T. J., Romero-García, V., &Groby, J.-P. (2017). Metadiffusers: Deep-subwavelength sound diffusers. Scientific Reports, 7, Article 5389.
[12]. Kong, X., Ma, H., Kang, J., & Wang, C. (2024). Acoustics perception in special-shaped spaces: A systematic review. Buildings, 14(2), Article 337. [https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14020337](https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14020337)
[13]. Kumar, S., & Lee, H. P. (2020). Labyrinthine acoustic metastructures enabling broadband sound absorption and ventilation. Applied Physics Letters, 116(13), 134103.
[14]. Lee, J.-H., Kwak, H., Kim, E., & Han, M.-W. (2025). Recent advances in soft acoustic metamaterials: A comprehensive review of geometry, mechanisms, and system responsiveness. Applied Sciences, 15(14), 7910.
[15]. Lee, M., & Singh, R. (2025). Acoustic metamaterials for architectural acoustics: Mechanisms and applications. International Journal of Acoustic Science, 28(2), 88–104.
[16]. Liao, G., Luan, C., Wang, Z., Liu, J., Yao, X., & Fu, J. (2021). Acoustic metamaterials: A review of theories, structures, fabrication approaches, and applications. Advanced Materials Technologies, 6(5), 2000787.
[17]. Ma, G., & Sheng, P. (2016). Acoustic metamaterials: From local resonances to broad horizons. Science Advances, 2(2), e1501595.
[18]. Okonkwo, M., & Ibrahim, R. (2022). Designing for sound: A review of acoustic control in Nigerian media spaces. Nigerian Journal of Architecture and Sound Technology, 5(3), 18–29.
[19]. Olayiwola, A. (2023). Rethinking media arts instruction in Nigerian universities. Journal of Humanities, 31(2), 99120.
[20]. Qu, S., Yang, M., Xu, Y., Xiao, S., & Fang, N. X. (2023). Reverberation time control by acoustic metamaterials in a small room. Building and Environment, 230, 110753. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2023.110753](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2023.110753)
[21]. Sanada, S., & Tamura, K. (2022). Hybrid acoustic metamaterials for low-frequency sound absorber based on cross mixed micro-perforated panel mounted over fractal structure. Applied Physics Letters, 120(21), 211902.
[22]. Sekar, V., Cantwell, W. J., Liao, K., Berton, B., Jacquart, P.-M., & Al-Rub, R. K. (2024). Additively manufactured metamaterials for acoustic absorption: A review. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 19(1), 120.
[23]. Tang, G., & Lewis, R. (2017). Fractal acoustic metamaterials and their diffusive properties. Applied Physics Letters, 110(20), 201901.
[24]. Xu, J., Song, X., Zhao, B., Yang, H., Sui, D., Zhou, J., & Xiao, H. (2024). 3D-Printed multifunctional chiral metamaterial with invariant sound absorption under large deformation and low-frequency vibration control. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 19(1), 5366.
[25]. York, J. (2023). Designing mixing rooms: acoustic considerations (2nd ed.). Routledge.